

AD \_\_\_\_\_

MIPR NUMBER: 95MM5538

TITLE: Pushup Performance by Women: Analysis of Modes of Failure

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Steven R. Boyea, CPT

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Walter Reed Army Medical Center  
Washington, DC 20307-5001

REPORT DATE: October 1995

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

19971223 058

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)			2. REPORT DATE October 1995	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final (1 Dec 94 - 30 Sep 95)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Pushup Performance by Women: Analysis of Modes of Failure			5. FUNDING NUMBERS 95MM5538	
6. AUTHOR(S) CPT Steven R. Boyea			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, DC 20307-5001			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5012			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 10	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) <p>To analyze the modes of muscle failure during pushups in active duty women determining a single "weak link" in performance multiple modes of failure. 25 U.S. Army active duty women were prospectively studied. Each participated in eight sessions, separated by a minimum 48 hours, consisting of stretching warm ups then prefatigue of a specific muscle group to muscle failure with a predetermined exercise.. The initial session set a baseline without prefatigue, the following sessions prefatigued the trapezius, latissimus dorsi, deltoid, pectorals, biceps, triceps, and abdominals. Studied 25 women, mean age of 33.5 yrs (23-50 yrs), 19 enlisted and 6 officers with a mean weight of 135.9 lbs (90-180 lbs). Baseline mean was 22 pushups (11-40). Prefatigue of pectorals gave a mean of 9 pushups (2-26), triceps mean 11 pushups (2-25), deltoid mean 15 pushups (0-30), abdominals mean 16 pushups (0-29), biceps mean 17 pushups (8-29), latissimus dorsi mean 17 pushups (9-31), and the trapezius mean 19 pushups (6-32). Prefatiguing of the pectorals and triceps give a significant decrease in pushups compared with other muscle groups (<math>p &lt; 0.0005</math>).</p>			16. PRICE CODE	
14. SUBJECT TERMS Pushups, Prefatigue, Modes, Failure			17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	
18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified			19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	
20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited				

## GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to *stay within the lines* to meet optical/scanning requirements.

**Block 1. Agency Use Only (Leave blank).**

**Block 2. Report Date.** Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.

**Block 3. Type of Report and Dates Covered.**

State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 Jun 87 - 30 Jun 88).

**Block 4. Title and Subtitle.** A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.

**Block 5. Funding Numbers.** To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

C - Contract	PR - Project
G - Grant	TA - Task
PE - Program Element	WU - Work Unit Accession No.

**Block 6. Author(s).** Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).

**Block 7. Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es).** Self-explanatory.

**Block 8. Performing Organization Report Number.** Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization performing the report.

**Block 9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es).** Self-explanatory.

**Block 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number. (If known)**

**Block 11. Supplementary Notes.** Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with...; Trans. of...; To be published in.... When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.

**Block 12a. Distribution/Availability Statement.**

Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN, REL, ITAR).

**DOD** - See DoDD 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

**DOE** - See authorities.

**NASA** - See Handbook NHB 2200.2.

**NTIS** - Leave blank.

**Block 12b. Distribution Code.**

**DOD** - Leave blank.

**DOE** - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports.

**NASA** - Leave blank.

**NTIS** - Leave blank.

**Block 13. Abstract.** Include a brief (*Maximum 200 words*) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.

**Block 14. Subject Terms.** Keywords or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.

**Block 15. Number of Pages.** Enter the total number of pages.

**Block 16. Price Code.** Enter appropriate price code (*NTIS only*).

**Blocks 17. - 19. Security Classifications.** Self-explanatory. Enter U.S. Security Classification in accordance with U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.

**Block 20. Limitation of Abstract.** This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the US Army.

Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

PI - Signature

3001195

Date

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>page 2</b>
<b>MATERIALS &amp; METHODS</b>	<b>page 3</b>
<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>page 4</b>
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>page 4</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>page 5</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>page 7</b>

## INTRODUCTION

Females have less upper body strength than males. In both isometric and isokinetic testing, female upper body strength values have ranged between 55 and 75% of male values (6, 11). Even when lean body mass and lower extremity aerobic power were equated, the differential in upper body strength continued to exist (4, 14). This is attributed to smaller muscle mass associated with musculature controlling the elbow joint, shoulder joint and shoulder girdle in females. There is also evidence to support that women are more frequently injured in Army basic training, 44.6% versus 29% in males (10). Gender differences in injury rates of military aviators disappeared when size, strength and fitness were controlled (12).

It is important to recognize that the effectiveness of muscle is dependent upon a number of biomechanical, anatomical and physiologic considerations. First, the segmental position of the upper arm with respect to the shoulder joint axis of rotation determines the direction of the muscle force, as well as the force moment arm (1). The muscle moment arm influences the effectiveness of the muscle force to either produce observable movements (longer moment arm) or to stabilize/dislocate a joint (shorter moment arm). By changing the angular position of the shoulder joint, the muscle torque producing shoulder abduction may either increase or decrease, even though the absolute value of the muscle force remains the same. The effective muscle force which holds the humerus in the glenoid fossa may decrease to the point of creating laxity in the joint or may increase so that increased compressive or shear forces restrict movement and lead to increased stress on other joint structures. There is evidence that when the shoulder is abducted and externally rotated, both heads of the biceps brachii contribute to the compressive forces that are the main anterior stabilizing mechanism for the shoulder joint (8).

A second consideration related to strength and injury potential is muscle imbalance. Muscle imbalances between opposing muscle groups controlling a joint have been linked to increased incidence of injury. One study has examined shoulder ratios in a small number of untrained women and men, but no relationship between either performance or injury was tested (2,9). Many acute and chronic complaints in the shoulder are due to a reduced active stabilization capacity by insufficiently developed shoulder musculature and muscle imbalances, but again the strength values were based on a small number of untrained women and no relationship to performance or injury was tested (13). However, higher shoulder abduction/adduction and abduction/internal rotation strength ratios have been found in shoulders of paraplegics with shoulder impingement syndrome when compared to paraplegics without impingement syndrome. The authors concluded that shoulder muscle imbalance with comparative weakness of the humeral head depressors may be a factor in the development of this syndrome in wheelchair athletes.

A third issue is the development of localized muscular fatigue during the performance of repetitive actions. Fatigue is a continual, time-dependent process that leads up to a failure point. In a multisegmented human body it is important to identify the muscles which are the "weak link" and to recognize that muscular substitution or movement pattern changes may occur during the

fatiguing process. The time occurrence of fatigue is related to the external force produced and the speed at which the movement occurs.

The Army currently utilizes the pushup as an assessment of upper body strength. This test is performed by all individuals in the Army on a biannual basis during the APFT, but the standards for women are much lower than those for men. In spite of the wide utilization of the pushup, there is very little information about the biomechanics of the pushup. On the basis of a complex three dimensional model, a recent study of male subjects demonstrated differences in elbow joint load with changes in hand position during slow pushups, but the effect at the shoulder has not been qualitatively examined (3). The only research study examining female pushup performance quantified the electromyographic (EMG) amplitude response of selected muscles in 10 women who could perform more than 10 pushups, and they reported that the anterior deltoid, triceps brachii, trapezius and pectoralis major were most involved in pushup exercises (7). The external forces produced during the pushup are expected to change with various positions throughout the pushup cycle and to change with a function of speed. Identification of the position of the joints as well as any fatigue-related movement pattern changes would be helpful in identifying the mode(s) of failure in the pushup test. Based on these data and information about shoulder and elbow strength balances, strategies to strengthen the appropriate muscle group(s), to enhance pushup performance and to minimize the risk of injury can be developed.

## BODY

### Materials & Methods

The second phase of the study will examine how prefatigue of a muscle or muscle group affects the pushup. There will be seven muscle groups prefatigued; the trapezius, the latissimus dorsi, the deltoid, the pectoralis major/minor, the biceps brachii, the triceps brachii, and the abdominals. The subject will then participate in a pushup exercise to muscle fatigue. A different muscle group will be prefatigued at each session. The subject will be asked to participate in seven sessions, each lasting about 30 minutes. There will be at least a 48 hour rest period between exercise sessions.

The order of the muscle group tested will be randomized for each patient to account for the possibility that subjects may experience an increase or decrease in muscle strength over time.

During each session there will be a 15 minute stretching and warmup period. The specific exercises are as follows, the trapezius will be fatigued using shoulder shrugs with 20% of the subject's body weight. The latissimus dorsi will be fatigued using a bent over rowing exercise with 20% of the subject's body weight. The deltoid will be fatigued using an straight arm shoulder abduction, from 0-70 degrees, exercise with 10% of the subject's body weight in each hand. The pectoralis muscle groups will be fatigued with a seated "fly" exercise with the use of an Olympus weight machine set at 20% of the subject's body weight. The biceps brachii will be fatigued using a supination/flexion exercise at the elbow with 5% of the subject's body weight in each hand. The triceps brachii will be fatigued using a triceps extension Olympus weight machine set at 10% of the subject's body weight. The abdominal muscle group will be fatigued using an abdominal "crunch" exercise with the subject on her back with both hips and knees flexed to 90

degrees. The monitor will then demonstrate the exercise to be accomplished. The subject will take 1 minute to familiarize herself with the exercise. The subject will then do the specified exercise to muscle fatigue and then be assisted with two more repetitions. The subject will then have a 2 minute recovery/stretching period. The subject will then participate in a pushup exercise at normal cadence with the arms in a neutral position until failure. Fatigue is described as the inability to rise from the down position, inability to keep up with the cadence or movement of arm position. The number of repetitions will be recorded on the Phase II Data Collection Sheet (see attachment), as well as biplanar video recording of the exercise. The subject will be monitored at all times of the session by a military physician. The exercise will be terminated if there is evidence of pain, over exertion or at the physician's discretion. The investigator will have available prepackaged over the counter non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication such as Tylenol for muscle soreness, with enough medication to last 48 hours.

All adverse events or side effects will be reported to the Human Use Review and Regulatory Affairs Division.

### Results

There were no injuries associated with this study. 29 women agreed to participate in this study and 25 active duty women with a mean age of 33.5 years (Range: 23-50 years) completed the entire protocol. There were 19 enlisted and six officers with a mean weight of 135.9 lbs. (Range: 90-180 lbs.). A baseline was obtained prior to any prefatigue exercises, mean of 22 pushups (Range: 11-40). After prefatiguing of separate muscle groups on separate occasions the following data was obtained, prefatigue of pectorals gave a mean of nine pushups (Range: 2-26), triceps a mean of 11 pushups (Range: 2-25), deltoid a mean of 15 pushups (Range: 0-30), abdominals a mean of 16 pushups (Range: 0-29), biceps a mean of 17 pushups (Range: 8-29), latissimus dorsi a mean of 17 pushups (Range: 9-31) and trapezius a mean of 19 pushups (Range: 6-32). Significant correlation was seen between increasing weight of participant and a decreasing number of pushups ( $p<0.01$ ).

### CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrates that prefatiguing of the pectorals and triceps give a significant decrease in the number of pushups completed by the participants compared with other muscle groups ( $p<0.0005$ ). There is also evidence that the weight of the participant affects the number of pushups performed before and after prefatiguing of muscle groups. No significant differences were seen between the age or rank of the participants in the number of pushups performed. This study shows that exercise programs emphasizing the pectoral and triceps muscle groups could increase the number of pushups while helping to prevent injuries. The study also points to weight control as a potential factor in increasing pushup performance. The next step to analyze these findings would be to set up an exercise program focusing on the pectoral and triceps. This group could then be compared to a group who exercise with pushups only and a control group. The comparison could help identify an exercise program to help soldiers to increase the number of pushups performed while preventing training injuries.

## REFERENCES

1. Bassett, R.W., Browne, A.O., Morrey, B.F. & An, K.N. "Glenohumeral muscle force and movement mechanics in a position of shoulder instability." *Journal of Biomechanics*, 23, 405-415, 1990.
2. Burham, R.S., May, L., Nelson, E., Steadward, R. & Reid, D.C. "Shoulder pain in wheelchair athletes." *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 21, 1993.
3. Donkers, M.J., An, K.N., Chao, E.Y.S. & Morrey, B.F. "Hand position affects elbow joint load during pushup exercise." *Journal of Biomechanics*, 26, 625-632, 1991.
4. Falkel, J.E., Sawka, M.N., Levine, L., Pimental, N.A. & Pandolf, K.B. "Upperbody exercise performance: Comparison between women and men." *Ergonomics*, 29, 145-154, 1986.
5. Furlani, J. "Electromyographic study of the m. biceps brachii in movements at the glenohumeral joint." *Acta Anatomica*, 96, 270-284, 1976.
6. Heyward, V.H., Johannes-Ellis, S.M. & Romer, J.F. "Gender differences in strength." *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 57, 154-159, 1986.
7. Hinson, M.M. "An electromyographic study of the pushup for women." *Research Quarterly*, 40, 305-311, 1969.
8. Itio, E., Kuechle, D.K., Newman, S.R., Morrey, B.F. & An, K.N. "Stabilizing function of the biceps in stable and unstable shoulders." *British J. Bone and Joint Surg*, 75(B), 546-550, 1993.
9. Ivey, F.M., Calhoun, J.H., Rusche, K. & Bierschenk, J. "Isokinetic testing of the shoulder joint: Normal values." *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 66, 384-386, 1985.
10. Jones, B.H., Bovee, M.W., Harris, J.M. & Cowan, D.N. "Intrinsic risk factors for exercise related injuries among male and female trainees." *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 21, 705-710, 1993.
11. Laubach, L.L. "Comparative muscular strength of men and women: A review of the literature." *Aviation Space and Environmental Medicine*, 47, 534-542, 1976.
12. Lyons, T.J. "Women in the Military Cockpit. Technical Report NTIS: AD-A238 808/0/HDM." Armstrong Lab, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, 1991.

13. Mayer, F., Horstman, T., Rocker, K., Heitkamp, H.C. & Dickhuth, H.H. "Normal values of isokinetic maximum strength, the strength/velocity curve, and the angle at peak torque of all degrees of freedom in the shoulder." *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 15, S19-S25, 1994.
14. Wilmore, J.H. "Alterations in strength, body composition and anthropometric measurements consequent to a 10 week weight training program." *Medicine and Science in Sports*, 6, 133-136, 1974.

## Data Collection Sheet